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#### Abstract

A class of conflict-controlled processes [1-3] with additional ("phase" type) restrictions on the state of the evader is considered. A similar unrestricted problem was considered in [4]. Unlike [5, 6] the boundary of the "phase" restrictions is not a "death line" for the evader. Sufficient conditions for the solvability of the pursuit and evasion problems are obtained, which complement a range of well-known results [5-10]. ${ }^{\ddagger}$


1. The motion of a conflict-controlled object $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in the finite-dimensional space $R^{v}$ is described by a system of differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{z}_{i}=A_{i} z_{i}+\varphi_{i}\left(u_{i}, v\right), \quad z_{i}(0)=z_{i}^{0}  \tag{1.1}\\
& z_{i} \in R^{m_{i}}, \quad u_{i} \in U_{i}, \quad v \in V
\end{align*}
$$

Here $A_{i}$ is a specified square matrix of order $n_{i}, U_{i}$ and $V$ are non-empty compact subsets of the spaces $R^{m_{i}}$ and $R^{m}$, respectively, and the function $\varphi_{i}: U_{i} \times V \rightarrow R^{m_{i}}$ is continuous in all its variables. Here and henceforth $i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, r$.

The terminal set $M$ consists of sets $M_{i}$ each of which can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=M_{i}^{1}+M_{i}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i}^{1}$ is a linear subspace of the space $R^{n_{1}}$, and $M_{i}^{2}$ is a compact convex set contained in $L_{i}^{1}$, the orthogonal complement to $M_{i}^{1}$ in $R^{n_{1}}$. This conflict-controlled process describes a differential game between a group of pursuers $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ and an evader $E$.

We shall assume that a linear subspace $L$ of the space $R^{m}$ is specified, together with a system of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}=A y+v, \quad y(0)=y^{0}, \quad v \in V \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\left\{y \mid y \in R^{m},\left\langle p_{j} ; \pi y\right\rangle \leqslant \mu_{j}\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a specified square matrix of order $m, y^{0} \in D$ is a given vector, $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ are unit vectors, $\pi: R^{m} \rightarrow L$ is the orthogonal projection operator, and $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}$ are real numbers such that Int $D \neq \varnothing$.

[^0]Let $T>0$ be an arbitrary number and let $\sigma$ be a finite decomposition $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{\mathrm{s}}<$ $t_{s+1}=T$ of the interval $[0, T]$.

Definition 1. A piecewise-programmed strategy $Q$ for the evader $E$ specified in $[0, T]$ with respect to the decomposition $\sigma$ is a family of mappings $b^{e}, e=0,1, \ldots, s$ each of which maps the quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{e}, z_{1}\left(t_{e}\right), \ldots, z_{n}\left(t_{e}\right), y\left(t_{e}\right)\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

to a measurable function $v_{e}(t)$ defined on $t \in\left[t_{e}, t_{e+1}\right)$ and such that $v_{e}(t) \in V, y(t) \in D$, $t \in\left[t_{e}, t_{e+1}\right)$.

Definition 2. A piecewise-programmed counterstrategy $Q_{i}$ for the player $P_{i}$ with respect to the decomposition $\sigma$ is a family of mappings $c_{i}^{e}, e=0,1, \ldots, s$ each of which maps the quantities (1.5) and the control $v_{e}(t), t \in\left[t_{e}, t_{e+1}\right)$ into the measurable function $u_{e}^{i}(t)$ defined for $t \in\left[t_{e}, t_{e+1}\right)$ and such that $u_{l}^{e}(t) \in U_{i}, t \in\left[t_{e}, t_{c+1}\right)$.

We denote the given game by $\Gamma=\Gamma\left(z^{0}, D\right)$.
Definition 3. We shall say that a capture occurs in the game $\Gamma$ if a $T>0$ exists, and for any decomposition $\sigma$ of the interval $[0, T]$ for any strategy $Q$ of player $E$ with respect to the decomposition $\sigma$ piecewise-programmed counterstrategies $Q_{i}$ exist for the players $P_{i}$ with respect to the decompositions $\sigma$ such that there is an instant $\tau \in[0, T]$ and a number $g$ for which $z_{g}(\tau) \in M_{g}$.

Definition 4. We say that capture is avoided in the game $\Gamma$ if for any $T>0$ a decomposition of $\sigma$ of the interval $[0, T]$ exists, and a strategy $Q$ for the player $E$ with respect to the decomposition $\sigma$ such that for all counterstrategies $Q_{i}$ of the players $P_{i}$ we have $z_{i}(t) \notin M_{i}, t \in[0, T]$.
2. We will now describe the pursuit scheme. We will denote by $\pi_{i}$ the orthogonal projection from $R^{n_{1}}$ on to $L_{i}^{1}$.

Condition 1. For the point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{0}^{n}\right)$ such that $\pi_{i} \exp \left(t A_{i}\right) z_{1}^{0} \notin M_{1}^{2}$ for $t \geqslant 0$ the following relations hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\overline{\operatorname{con}}\left(\pi_{i} \exp \left(t A_{i}\right) z_{i}^{0}-M_{i}^{2}\right) \cap \pi_{i} \exp \left((t-\tau) A_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i}, v\right) \neq \varnothing \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t<+\infty, v \in V$.
Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied for the point $z^{0}$. We consider the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}(t, \tau, v)=\max \left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \geqslant 0,-\alpha\left(\pi_{i} \exp \left(t A_{i}\right) z_{i}^{0}-M_{i}^{2}\right) \cap\right. \\
& \left.\cap \pi_{i} \exp \left((t-\tau) A_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i}, v\right) \neq \varnothing, 0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t<+\infty, v \in V\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Put

$$
\Omega(t)=\{v(\cdot) \mid v:[0, t] \rightarrow V, y(\tau) \in D, \tau \in[0, t]\}
$$

Condition 2. A time $T_{0}$ exists such that

$$
\inf _{v(\cdot) \in \Omega\left(T_{0}\right)} \max _{i} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \alpha_{i}\left(T_{0}, \tau, v(\tau)\right) d \tau \geqslant 1
$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that the point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right)$ is such that Conditions 1 and 2 are satisfied. Then capture occurs in the game $\Gamma$ no later than the time $T_{0}$.

The proof is similar to me proof of the theorem in [7, p. 95].

Condition 3. $p,\|p\|=1, \mu \in R^{1}$ exist such that for the set $D_{1}=\left\{y \mid y \in R^{m},\langle p, \pi y\rangle \leqslant \mu\right\}$ we have $D \subset D_{1}$.

We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=\max \{\|v\| \mid v \in V\}, \quad I(g)=\{1,2, \ldots, n+g\} \\
& \alpha_{n+1}(t, \tau, v)=\langle\pi \exp ((t-\tau) A) v, p\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition 4. Continuous functions $\alpha_{i}^{1}(t, v), \beta(t, v)$ and continuous non-negative functions $g_{i}(t, \tau), g(t, \tau)$ exist such that

$$
\alpha_{i}(t, \tau, v)=g_{i}(t, \tau) \alpha_{i}^{1}(t, v), \quad \alpha_{n+1}(t, \tau, v)=g(t, \tau) \beta(t, v)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{n+1}^{1}(t, v)=\beta(t, v)+a \mu, & f(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t, \tau) d \tau \\
\delta(t)=\min _{v \in V} \max _{e \in I(1)} \alpha_{e}^{1}(t, v), & R(t)=d+\delta(t)-a \mu
\end{array}
$$

Condition 5. Constants $a, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ exist such that

1. $a \mu \leqslant 0,\left\|\pi \exp (t A) y^{0}\right\| \leqslant c_{1}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$;
2. for any $t>0$ a measurable set $E(t) \subset[0, t]$ exists such that

$$
\mu(E(t)) \leqslant c_{2}, \quad \int_{E(t)} g(t, \tau) d \tau \leqslant c_{3}, \quad \min _{i} g_{i}(t, \tau) \geqslant g(t, \tau) \forall \tau \in[0, t] \backslash E(t)
$$

3. the function $\delta(t)$ is bounded in $[0,+\infty)$ and satisfies one of the following two conditions as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ :
(a) $f(t) \delta^{2}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ when $a \mu=0$,
(b) $(f(t) \delta(t) \rightarrow+\infty$, when $a \mu<0$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that the point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right)$ satisfies Conditions 1, 3, 4 and 5 . Then capture occurs in the game $\Gamma$.

Proof. Because $D \subset D_{1}$, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the game $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma\left(z^{0}, D_{1}\right)$. Assume that the assertion of the theorem is falsc. Then for any $T>0$ a strategy $Q$ exists for player $E$ (with respect to some decomposition $\sigma$ ) such that for any counterstrategies $Q_{i}$ of players $P_{i}$ we have $\pi_{i} z_{i}(t) \notin M_{i}^{2}$ for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$. By Condition 1 and the Filippov-Kasten lemma [11] for any $i$ measurable functions $m_{i}(\tau) \in M_{i}^{2}, u_{i}(\tau) \in U_{i}, 0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant T$, exist which for any fixed $\tau \in[0, T]$ are a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha_{i}(T, \tau, v(\tau))\left(\pi_{i} \exp \left(T A_{i}\right) z_{i}^{0}-m_{i}(\tau)\right)=\pi_{i} \exp \left((T-\tau) A_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(u_{i}(\tau), v(\tau)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a time $\tau$ we assume the value of the control $u_{i}(\tau)$ (defining the counterstrategy $Q_{i}$ ) to be equal to the lexicographic minimum of all the points $u_{i}$ for which equality (2.3) is satisfied.

From Cauchy's formula, (2.3) and Condition 4 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{k} z_{k}(T)=\pi_{k} \exp \left(T A_{k}\right) z_{k}^{0}+\int_{0}^{T} \pi_{k} \exp \left((T-\tau) A_{k}\right) \varphi_{k}\left(u_{k}(\tau), v(\tau)\right) d \tau= \\
& =\pi_{k} \exp \left(T A_{k}\right) z_{k}^{0}\left(1-\int_{0}^{T} g_{k}(T, \tau) \alpha_{k}^{1}(T, v(\tau)) d \tau+\int_{0}^{T} \alpha_{k}^{1}(T, v(\tau)) g_{k}(T, \tau) m_{k}(\tau) d \tau\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the strategy $Q$ is admissible, $\langle p, \pi y(t)\rangle \leqslant \mu$ for all $t \geqslant 0$. From system (1.3) and Condition 4 it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} g(t, \tau) \beta(t, v(\tau)) d \tau \leqslant \mu-\left\langle p, \pi \exp (t A) y^{0}\right\rangle=\mu_{1}(t)
$$

Let $T_{1}(t), T_{2}(t)$ be two subsets of the interval $[0, t]$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}(t)=\{\tau \mid \tau \in[0, t], \beta(t, v(\tau))<\delta(t)-a \mu\} \\
& T_{2}(t)=\{\tau \mid \tau \in[0, t], \beta(t, v(\tau)) \leqslant \delta(t)-a \mu\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\delta(t)-a \mu) G_{2}-d G_{1} \leqslant \mu_{1}(t), G_{2}+G_{1}=f(t) \\
& \left(G_{1,2}=\int_{T_{1,2}(t)} g(t, \tau) d \tau\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the last two relations it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1} \geqslant\left[f(t)(\delta(t)-a \mu)-\mu_{1}(t)\right] / R(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the functions

$$
h_{i}(t)=1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{i}(t, \tau) \alpha_{i}^{1}(t, \tau, v(\tau)) d \tau
$$

They are continuous, $h_{i}(0)=1$ and

$$
\sum_{i} h_{i}(T) \leqslant n-\delta(T) \int_{T_{1}(T)} \min _{i} g_{i}(t, \tau) d \tau
$$

From Condition 5 and inequality (2.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} h_{i}(T) \leqslant n+c_{3} \delta(T)-\delta(T)\left[f(T)(\delta(T)-a \mu)-\mu_{1}(T)\right] / R(T) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From part 3 of Condition 5 and inequality (2.6) it follows that a time $T_{0}$ and the number $g$ exist such that the function $h_{g}$ vanishes at a time $T=T_{0}$. Hence we conclude from (2.4) that when $T=T_{0}$

$$
\pi_{g} z_{g}\left(T_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{T_{0}} g_{g}\left(T_{0}, \tau\right) \alpha_{g}^{1}\left(T_{0}, v(\tau)\right) m_{g}(v(\tau)) d \tau \in M_{g}^{2}
$$

The resulting contradiction proves the theorem.
Remark. Theorem 2 remains valid if part 3 of Condition 5 is replaced by the requirement that the righthand side of inequality (2.6) vanishes for some $T=T_{0}$.
3. Example 1. The pursuers and evader move according to the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{i}=a x_{i}+u_{i}, \quad\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leqslant 1, \quad x_{i}(0)=x_{i}^{0}, \quad x_{i} \in R^{m}, \\
& \dot{y}=a y+v, \quad\|v\| \leqslant 1, \quad y(0)=y^{0}, \quad y \in R^{m}, \quad a<0
\end{aligned}
$$

The set $M_{i}$ consists of those points $\left\{x_{i}, y\right\}$, for which $x_{i}=y$. The restrictions on the evader's coordinates are

$$
D=\left\{y \mid y \in R^{m},\left\langle p_{j}, y\right\rangle \leqslant 0\right\}
$$

Assertion $1[10]$. Let $z_{i}^{0}=x_{i}^{0}-y^{0} \neq 0, n \geqslant m, 0 \in \operatorname{Intco}\left\{z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right]$. Then there is a capture in game $\Gamma$.

Assertion $2[10]$. Let $z_{1}^{0} \neq 0$ and $0 \in \operatorname{Intco}\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)$. Then capture is avoided in game $\Gamma$.
Example 2 (the Pontryagin control example with equal coefficients of friction). The motion of the pursuers and evader is described by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{1 i}=x_{2 i}, \quad \dot{x}_{2 i}=a x_{2 i}+u_{i}, \quad x_{1 i}, x_{2 i} \in R^{m}, \quad m \geqslant 2, \quad\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leqslant 1 \\
& \dot{y}_{1}=y_{2}, \quad \dot{y}_{2}=a y_{2}+v, \quad y_{1}, y_{2} \in R^{m}, \quad\|v\| \leqslant 1, \quad a<0
\end{aligned}
$$

The set $M_{i}$ consists of the pairs $\left\{x_{1 i}, y\right\}$, such that $x_{1 i}=y$. Restrictions on the evader's geometrical coordinates $y_{1}$ have the form

$$
D=\left\{y_{1} \mid y_{1} \in R^{m},\left\langle p_{j}, y_{1}\right\rangle \leqslant \mu_{j}\right\}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1 i}=x_{1 i}-y_{1}, \quad z_{2 i}=x_{2 i}-y_{2}, \quad e(t)=a^{-1}(\exp (a t)-1) \\
& \xi_{t}\left(t, z_{i}^{0}\right)=z_{1 i}^{0}+e(t) z_{2 i}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}(t, \tau, v)=e(t-\tau) \alpha_{i}^{1}\left(\xi_{i}\left(t, z_{i}^{0}\right), v\right), \quad \alpha_{n+j}(t, \tau, v)=e(t-\tau),\left\langle p_{j}, v\right\rangle \alpha_{i}^{1}\left(\xi_{i}, v\right)= \\
& =\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|^{-2}\left(\left\langle\xi_{i}, v\right\rangle+\left[\left\langle\xi_{i}, v\right\rangle^{2}+\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|^{2}\left(1-\|v\|^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& g_{i}(t, \tau)=g(t, \tau)=e(t-\tau), \quad f(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e(t-\tau) d \tau, \quad E(t)=\varnothing
\end{aligned}
$$

We put

$$
z_{i}^{*}=z_{1 i}^{0}-z_{2 i}^{0} \mid a=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{i}\left(t, z_{i}^{0}\right)
$$

Assertion 3. Let $z_{i}^{*} \neq 0,0 \in \operatorname{Intco}\left\{z_{1}^{*}, \ldots, z_{n}^{*}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ and $n \geqslant m$. Then there is capture in game $\Gamma$.
Examples 1 and 2 are solutions of the "cornered rat" and "lion and man" problems [12] in the given formulation.
4. Let us consider in more detail the conflict-controlled process (1.1)-(1.3) for the case when $A_{i}$ and $A$ are null square matrices. Then the conflict-controlled process is of the simple motion type with mixed player controls and is described by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{i}=\varphi_{i}\left(u_{i}, v\right), \quad z_{i} \in R^{n_{i}}, \quad u_{i} \in U_{i}, \quad v \in V, \quad z_{i}(0)=z_{i}^{0} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $U_{i}$ and $V$ are non-empty compact subsets of the spaces $R^{m}$ and $R^{m}$, respectively, and the function $\varphi_{i}\left(u_{i}, v\right)$ is continuous in its variables. The terminal set $M$ consist of sets $M_{i}$ each of which is represented in the form (1.2).
The restrictions on the evader have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{y}=v, \quad y \in R^{m}, \quad v \in V, \quad y(0)=y^{0}  \tag{4.2}\\
& D=\left\{y \mid \quad y \in R^{m},\left\langle p_{j}, \pi y\right\rangle \leqslant \mu_{j}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\pi: R^{m} \rightarrow L$ is the orthogonal projection operator on to the linear subspace $L \subset R^{m}$.
We form the multivalued mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{i}\left(z_{i}^{0}, v\right)=-\overline{\operatorname{con}}\left(\pi_{i} z_{i}^{0}-M_{i}^{2}\right) \cap \pi_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i}, v\right) \\
& \overline{W_{i}}\left(z_{i}^{0}, v\right)=-\overline{\operatorname{con}}\left(\pi_{i} z_{i}^{0}-M_{i}^{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{co} \pi_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i}, v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition 6. The point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right) \in R^{v} \backslash M$ satisfies the relations $W_{i}\left(z_{i}^{0}, v\right) \neq \phi$ for all $v \in V$.
Condition 7. The point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right)$ satisfies the relations $\overline{W_{i}}\left(z_{i}^{0} v\right) \neq \varnothing$ for all $v \in V$.
We fix a point $z^{0}$ that satisfies Conditions 6 (respectively 7) and introduce the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}(v)=\max \left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \geqslant 0,-\alpha\left(\pi_{i} z_{i}^{0}-M_{i}^{2}\right) \cap \pi_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i}, v\right) \neq \varnothing\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& \bar{\alpha}_{i}(v)=\max \left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \geqslant 0,-\alpha\left(\pi_{i} z_{i}^{0}-M_{i}^{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{co} \pi_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i}, v\right) \neq \varnothing\right.  \tag{4.4}\\
& \alpha_{n+j}(v)=\bar{\alpha}_{n+j}(v)=\left\langle p_{j}, \pi v\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta=\inf _{v} \max _{e \in I(r)} \alpha_{e}(v), \quad \delta_{1}=\inf _{v} \max _{e \in I(r)} \bar{\alpha}_{e}(v) \\
& V_{1}=\left\{v \mid \quad \alpha_{i}(v)=0, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. Suppose the point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right)$ satisfies Condition $6, \delta>0$ and at least one of the following two conditions holds: (a) $r=1$, (b) $0 \notin \overline{\operatorname{co} V_{1}}, \operatorname{co} V_{1} \subset \operatorname{con} V_{1}$.

Then there is a capture in the game $\Gamma$.
Proof. If Condition (a) of the theorem is satisfied, Conditions 1 and 3-5 of Theorem 2 are satisfied, from which the assertion follows. Suppose Condition (b) of the theorem is satisfied. Then $\max _{j}\left\langle p_{j}, \pi v\right\rangle>0$ for all $v \in \overline{\operatorname{coV}} V_{1}$. Hence by the Bonneblast-Karlin-Shepley theorem [13, p. 33] $\gamma_{j} \geqslant 0, \gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{r}=1$ exist such that

$$
\min _{v \in \operatorname{cov}_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \gamma_{j}\left\langle p_{j}, \pi v\right\rangle>0
$$

Putting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=\gamma_{1} p_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{r} p_{r}, \quad \mu=\gamma_{1} \mu_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{r} \mu_{r} \\
& D_{1}=\left\{y \mid y \in R^{m},\langle p, \pi y\rangle \leqslant \mu\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain $D \subset D_{1}$, inf $_{v} \max _{e \in(1)} \alpha_{e}(v)>0$, where $\alpha_{n+1}(v)=\langle p, \pi v\rangle$. This proves the theorem.
Theorem 4. Suppose the point $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}\right)$ satisfies Condition 7, $\delta_{1} \leqslant 0$, and a vector $v_{0} \in V$, exists such that

$$
\delta_{1}=\max _{e \in I(r)} \bar{\alpha}_{r}\left(v_{0}\right)
$$

Then capture is avoided in the game $\Gamma$.

The proof of the theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3 in [4].
Example 1 (see the paper cited in the footnote). Let $n=m, M_{i}=\{0\}, \varphi_{i}\left(u_{i}, v\right)=u_{i}-v, U_{i}=V=D_{1}(0)$. In this case $\alpha_{i}(v)=0$ if and only if $\|v\|=1$ and $\left\langle z_{i}^{0}, v\right\rangle \leqslant 0$. If $n \geqslant m$, then one can take the vectors $z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{m}^{0}$ to be linearly independent and then, if $\delta>0$ Condition (b) of Theorem 3 is satisfied. We find that there is capture in the game $\Gamma$ if $n \geqslant m$ and

$$
0 \in \operatorname{Intco}\left\{z_{1}^{0}, \ldots, z_{n}^{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}
$$

Example 2 [9]. Let $n_{i}=m, \varphi_{i}\left(u_{i}, v\right)=u_{i}-v, M_{i}=\{0\}, U_{i}=V=D_{1}(0)$, where $D$ is a polyhedron. In this case, it follows from Theorems 3 and 4 that if $n \geqslant m$, then there is capture in the game $\Gamma$.
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